

# Stress-testing GP – the phonology of Taa

**Julian Bradfield**

## Introduction

Despite its eclipse in recent years by OT, largely done in Jakobsonian framework, Government Phonology remains an active area. Much of the appeal of GP is its drive for simplicity and parsimony, together with the intuitively appealing idea of elements that have in themselves a concrete realization, rather than abstract features. An early aspect was the insistence on only binary branching constituents, which then developed to CV and even strict CV phonology. However, GP has been conducted almost entirely on languages that are relatively simple in terms of phonological inventory: English, Danish and Arabic are among the most complex studied. What does it look like to apply the GP philosophy to notoriously complex languages? Does it benefit our understanding of the language, or of GP?

## !Xóó/Taa

The Khoisan language known as !Xóó or Taa is celebrated for its rich inventory of consonants, consonant clusters, and vowels. A recent analysis of the Western dialect [Nau09] proposes 87 consonants (plus 77 clusters), and 26 vowels; an earlier analysis of the Eastern dialect [Tra94] has slightly fewer consonants, but more vowels.

## Phoneme inventory adapted from [Nau09]

p	t	ts	ʘ	l	l̥	ʃ	k	q	?	i	e	a	o	u
b	d	dz	ʘʘ	ʝ	ʝ̥	ʝ̥̥	g	g		ĩ	ẽ	ã	õ	ũ
pʰ	tʰ	tsʰ	ʘʰ	lʰ	l̥ʰ	ʃʰ	kʰ	qʰ		ĩ̥	ẽ̥	ḁ̃	õ̥	ũ̥
tʰ	dʰ	dzʰ	ʘʰʰ	ʝʰ	ʝ̥ʰ	ʝ̥̥ʰ	gʰ	gʰ		ĩ̥̥	ẽ̥̥	ḁ̥̃	õ̥̥	ũ̥̥
pʷ	tʷ	tsʷ	ʘʷ	lʷ	l̥ʷ	ʃʷ	kʷ	qʷ		ĩ̥̥̥	ẽ̥̥̥	ḁ̥̥̃	õ̥̥̥	ũ̥̥̥
	dzʷ	ʘʷʷ	ʝʷ	ʝ̥ʷ	ʝ̥̥ʷ	ʝ̥̥̥ʷ	gʷ	gʷ		ĩ̥̥̥̥	ẽ̥̥̥̥	ḁ̥̥̥̃	õ̥̥̥̥	ũ̥̥̥̥
m	n	ɲ	ʘʘ	ʝ	ʝ̥	ʝ̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥̥						
ʔ	m	ɲ	ʘʘ	ʝ	ʝ̥	ʝ̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥̥						
f	s		ʘʘ	ʝ	ʝ̥	ʝ̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥	ʝ̥̥̥̥	ʒ					
w	l	j							h					
r														

Initial clusters are CC, and C + ʒ, qʷ.

## The rest

Two underlying tones. Quite analytic; some affixes, with no sandhi as such. But extensive and complex concord system, involving vowel quality, tones, and phrasal melodies.

## Melody

For concreteness, start with the GP of [Har94]. This has ten melodic elements, which can combine in sets, with one member being a head (six thousand combinations should suffice ...). More recent GPs omit at least R and N.

A: 'mass', low back vowels, back-of-velar consonants

I: 'dip', high front vowels, palatal consonants

@: 'neutral', mid vowels, velar consonants

R: 'coronal', coronal consonants

h: 'noise', released stops, fricatives

?: 'stop', stops

N: 'nasal', nasal consonants, nasalized vowels

L: 'slack', voicing in consonants

H: 'stiff', aspiration, breathiness

## Clicks and their places

Is any click covered by those? Not really. Is there something common to clicks? Yes - articulatorily and perceptually. So:

K: 'click', ingressive burst

What is {K}? Probably // - the most distinctive, loudest, and cross-linguistically most common click. Then /ʃ/ must be {K,I} and /ʘ/ is obviously {K,U}, and // should be {K,R}, but what about ///?

## Lateral click

The GP expression of lateral // vs //ʷ is said to be {L,R} vs {R,?}. Is it plausible that // is {R,K} and //ʷ is {K,R}? Possible alternative: // is {K,I,R}, //ʷ patterns with //ʷ not with // (see later) - awkward.

## Non-clicks

The extensive non-click inventory requires some attention. The /s/ (via h), t/4ʰ/dʰ (via L and H) and k/q distinctions (via A) are standard, and carry to clicks. More troublesome are ...

## Glottals

Ejectives and glottalized segments are problematic in vanilla GP. [BH09] use ʔ in the setting of the Leiden model; [Bel07] objects to ʔ and uses element geometry. If adopted, this deals with ejective clicks. Is pre-glottalization phonologically distinct? Or is ʔj the nasal counterpart of ʔ?

## Vowels

Basic five-vowel system is standard. V by adding ʔ, Y with H, ̃ with N. What about Vʔ? Pharyngeal element is A ... Proposal: in element geometry, give A a laryngeal node meaning. What about Vʔ? [Tra94] suggested that phonologically Vʔ - works for GP too.



## Prosody

[Har94] posits binary branching σ(O(CC),R(N(VX),C)) structure. 'Strict CV' says all syllables are CV, and hacks the rest by empty positions and licensing. One surface analysis of (most) Taa lexemes is C(C)V(C)V - debates over size of initial cluster: is ʔq one segment per [Tra94], or ʔ + q as here per [Nau09] or even g + l + q.

Thus per [Har94] Taa prosody looks simple. But there is no consistent complexity gradient in CC: either may have more elements (e.g. t + 4ʒ vs ʝl + q). So we need an empty nucleus between CC (properly governed by first V).

## Phonological phenomena

Taa has some interesting constraints and assimilations, for example:

## Counting constraints

In the Eastern language [Tra94], there are counting constraints: a lexeme may have an aspirated/breathy consonant, or a breathy vowel, but not both; similarly for ejective/glottalized segments. The first says at most one H per word; the second, in an element geometry approach, says at most one laryngeal ʔ. Awkward to express as licensing constraints.

## Assimilation

/a/ raises to [ɔ] if after /l,ʃ/ or dentals, and before /-Cj/. Moreover an intervening - even uvular - C₂ does not block it. How to express this locally? (-ology or -etics?)

## Summary

The huge (apparent) inventory is not a problem, modulo issues considered already in GP; element geometry is useful. The phonological behaviour is not easy to deal with. Help from GP people welcomed!

## Thanks...

to Krisztina Polgárdi for the suggestion to look at Taa from GP, and helpful pointers; and to Christfried Naumann for his generosity with hundreds of megabytes of Taa data.

## References

- [Bel07] Alex Bellem. *Towards a Comparative Typology of Emphatics: Across Semitic and into Arabic Dialect Phonology*. PhD thesis, SOAS, 2007.
- [BH09] Katalin Balogné Béres and Dániel Huber. The (h)istory of laryngeal contrasts in [Har94] John Harris. *English Sound Structure*. Blackwell, 1994.
- [Nau09] Christfried Naumann. The phoneme inventory of Taa (West !Xoon dialect). 2009. [Tra94] Anthony Traill. *A !Xóó Dictionary*. Köppe, Köln, 1994.