Optimization Strategies for Parallel Linear Recursive Query Processing

Thomas Zurek
Department of Computer Science
Edinburgh University

Overview

- linear recursive queries
- abstract processing model
- processing strategies
- performance results
- conclusions

Some simple Queries

Relation $Flight(Airline, From, To)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Z) \\
C(X,Z) &= C(X,Y) \bowtie Flight(A,Y,Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
CA(A,X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Z) \\
CA(A,X,Z) &= CA(A,X,Y) \bowtie Flight(A,Y,Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

A non-trivial Query

$$\begin{aligned} P(A,B,C,D) &= E(A,B,C,D) \\ P(A,B,C,D) &= P(C,A,B,E) \bowtie Q(E,D) \end{aligned}$$

2-step Rule

$$P(A,B,C,D) = P(B,C,A,F) \bowtie Q(F,E) \bowtie Q(E,D)$$

3-step Rule

$$P(A,B,C,D) = P(A,B,C,G) \bowtie \underbrace{Q(G,F) \bowtie Q(F,E) \bowtie Q(E,D)}_{Q^3(G,D)}$$

Generations

$$
\begin{aligned}
CA_0(A,X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Z) \\
CA_1(A,X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Y_1) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_1,Z) \\
CA_2(A,X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Y_2) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_2,Y_1) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_1,Z) \\
CA_3(A,X,Z) &= Flight(A,X,Y_3) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_3,Y_2) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_2,Y_1) \\
&\quad \bowtie Flight(A,Y_1,Z)
\end{aligned}
$$



Generation Matrix



(R) Move



$$CA_{i+1}(A, X, Z) \quad = \quad CA_i(A, X, Y) \bowtie Flight(A, Y, Z)$$

(R2) Move



$$CA_{i+2}(A, X, Z) = CA_i(A, X, Y_1) \bowtie Flight(A, Y_1, Y_2) \bowtie Flight(A, Y_2, Z)$$

(Rd) Move



$$CA_{i+d}(A, X, Z) = CA_i(A, X, Y_1) \bowtie Flight(A, Y_1, Y_2) \bowtie \ldots \bowtie Flight(A, Y_d, Z)$$

(TC) move



$$CA_{i+nd}(A,X,Z) \;=\; CA_i(A,X,Y) \bowtie [Flight^d(A,Y,Z)]^{tc}$$

Data-Parallel (R) Move



Bottom-Up Strategy



(R) – (Rd) Strategy



Transitive Closure Strategy



Parallel Aspects

- Parallelizing the Strategy
  - task farming
  - data parallel moves
  - pipelining
- Parallelizing the Moves
  - parallel (R) move
  - parallel (TC) move

Length of the Longest Path



Number of Transitive Closures

(Data Skew in the Airline Attribute)



Data Skew

in Source / Destination Attributes



Conclusions

- 2 techniques in 1 processing model
- small set of simple operators
- allows creation of parallel plans
  (vs. parallelizing sequential plans)
- fits in traditional optimizer architecture
- results confirm transitive closure strategy

The Connection Machine CM-200

- data parallel SIMD architecture,
- 16384 processors,
- 256 kBit local memory per processor,
- 10 GBytes parallel mass storage,
- 25 MBytes per second data transfer rate